

SteppingStone Industries, Inc. Attn: Glenda Gail Parker 5904 Mt. Eagle Drive, # 1118 Alexandria, VA 22303 AUG 1 3 2015

RE: MUR 6681

Dear Ms. Parker:

On November 7, 2012, the Federal Election Commission notified you, as an agent of SteppingStone Industries, Inc., of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). On August 11, 2015, the Commission decided to dismiss the matter as to SteppingStone Industries, Inc. Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter on August 11, 2015.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which explains the Commission's finding, is enclosed for your information.

If you have any questions, please contact Donald E. Campbell, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

General Counsel

BY: /Jeff.S. Jørdan

Assistant General Counsel
Complaints Examination and
Legal Administration

Enclosure
Factual and Legal Analysis

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

<b>FACTUAL</b>	AND LEG.	AL ANAI	LVSIS

2	
3	RESPONDENTS:

Jill Stein for President

MUR 6681

and James Moran, as Treasurer
Green Party of Virginia Federal PAC
and Kirit Mookerjee, as Treasurer
SteppingStone Industries, Inc.

8 9

4

5

6

7

#### I. INTRODUCTION

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

This matter was generated by a complaint alleging violations of the Federal Election

Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") and Commission regulations by Jill Stein for

President and James Moran, in his official capacity as treasurer (the "Stein Committee"); the

Green Party of Virginia Federal PAC and Kirit Mookerjee, in his official capacity as treasurer

("GPVA"); and SteppingStone Industries, Inc. ("SSI"). It was scored as a low-rated matter

under the Enforcement Priority System, by which the Commission uses formal scoring criteria as

# II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

a basis to allocate its resources and decide which matters to pursue.

# A. Factual Background

Complainant Glenda Gail Parker alleges that GPVA and the Stein Committee<sup>1</sup> violated

52 U.S.C. § 30118(a) by knowingly accepting \$7,755 in prohibited in-kind corporate

contributions from Parker's company, SSI.<sup>2</sup> Compl. at 3. Parker alleges that between March

24 2012 and July 2012, she (acting through SSI) collected signatures to help Jill Stein gain access to

the Virginia ballot as a Green Party presidential candidate. *Id.* at 1-2. Parker states that she

The Stein Committee is the principal campaign committee of Jill Stein, unsuccessful Green Party candidate for President in 2012.

SteppingStone Industries, Inc. is registered as a corporation with the Commonwealth of Virginia. The registered agent is Glenda Gail Parker. See https://sccefile.scc.virginia.gov/Business/05604566.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Case Closure — MUR 6681
Factual and Legal Analysis
Jill Stein for President and James Moran, as Treasurer
Page 2

- 1 advised GPVA of these petitioning efforts and that she "provided signature count by
- 2 Congressional District as requested by [GPVA Committee Chair] Tom [Yager]." Id. at 2, Ex. 3.
- 3 She acknowledges that "[a]n agreement was never finalized with the Stein campaign," but that
- 4 email correspondence with a representative of GPVA "led [her] to believe" she could expect
- 5 payment for her petitioning efforts. Id. at 2. According to Parker, SSI provided GPVA and the
- 6 Stein Committee with an invoice for \$7,755 for the collection of 2,650 signatures for Stein's
- 7 ballot access, but she was told that "neither [GPVA nor the Stein Committee] expects to pay
- 8 [her] for this work." Id. Parker contends that the absence of payment for her petitioning services
- 9 through SSI constitutes an "unwilling" excessive in-kind corporate contribution from SSI to the
- 10 Stein Committee, in violation of 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(a)(1) and § 30118(a). Id. at 3.

The Stein Committee states that it was never Parker's or SSI's client; that Parker was not contracted for her services, which the Stein Committee states it "neither wanted nor agreed to"; and that Parker's petitioning efforts were unsolicited. Stein Committee Resp. at 1, 3. Attached to the Stein Committee's Response is apparent email correspondence between the associate campaign manager of the Stein Committee, Erika Wolf, and Parker, in which Wolf states that the Stein Committee did not contract with Parker or SSI for petitioning work, and that if an understanding had been reached between Parker and GPVA, it was not authorized by the Stein Committee. *Id.*, Attach.<sup>3</sup>

GPVA responded that "[n]either the Stein campaign nor the GPVA... ever entered into an agreement or contracted to purchase Parker's signatures," and in the absence of such a

The Stein Committee Response includes a copy of a letter from the Stein Committee to Parker, signed by Wolf and dated September 19, 2012, which states that the Stein Committee "never entered into any sort of agreement, written or verbal, confirming payment for any services with [Parker] or [SSI]," that the Committee "consider[ed] this matter to be closed and our balance with [Parker] and [SSI] to be \$0.00." Stein Committee Resp. at 7.

11

Case Closure — MUR 6681
Factual and Legal Analysis
Jill Stein for President and James Moran, as Treasurer
Page 3

- 1 contract, Parker's "independent" petitioning efforts amount to "a volunteer contribution of time
- 2 and labor," not services for which she could expect compensation. GPVA Resp. at 1. GPVA
- 3 acknowledges that certain of its officers exchanged email communications with Parker and
- 4 accepted Parker's signatures (on behalf of Stein), but maintains that it did not enter into a
- 5 contract with Parker because the parties could not agree on a price for Parker's services. Id. at 1-
- 6 2; Attach.
- Finally, SSI (through Parker) also submitted a response to Parker's Complaint, stating
- 8 that the \$7,755 allegedly incurred by the Stein Committee does not represent an [in-kind]
- 9 contribution from SSI to the Stein campaign, but rather is the total charge for "services that were
- 10 provided in good faith." SSI Resp. at 1.

## A. Legal Analysis

- The Complaint alleges that GPVA and the Stein Committee failed to compensate SSI for
- claimed services to the campaign for which SSI expected to be paid. This alleged failure to pay
- creates the question as to whether a debt dispute existed between the parties.
- The Act and Commission regulations require political committees to continuously report
- the amount and nature of outstanding debts until those debts are extinguished. 52 U.S.C.
- 17 § 30104(b)(8); 11 C.F.R. §§ 104.3(d), 104.11(a)-(b). This reporting requirement applies both to
- "estimated debts," see 11 C.F.R. § 104.11(b), and "disputed debts," see id. § 116.10(a). A
- "disputed debt" is "an actual or potential debt or obligation owed by a political committee,
- 20 including an obligation arising from a written contract, promise or agreement to make an
- 21 expenditure, where there is a bona fide disagreement between the creditor and the political
- committee as to the existence or amount of the obligation owed by the political committee." 11

6

Case Closure --- MUR 6681 Factual and Legal Analysis Jill Stein for President and James Moran, as Treasurer Page 4

- C.F.R. § 116.1(d). If a "disputed debt" exists, the political committee must report the disputed 1 2 debt if the creditor has provided "something of value" to the political committee. id. § 116.10(a).
- 3 Parker, the Stein Committee, and GPVA indicated that there was never any agreement 4 with the Stein Committee for Parker's petitioning services. The available information indicates 5 that Parker may have "provided something of value" to GPVA and the Stein Committee by collecting and submitting the signatures for Stein's ballot access.<sup>5</sup> But while there were initial
- 7 negotiations between Parker and GPVA for petitioning services, there was no final agreement
- 8 on a price for Parker's services, nor any agreement that Parker would be paid at all, thus
- 9 creating a question as to the existence of any debt. Under these circumstances and in light of
- 10 the small amount at issue, the Commission exercises its prosecutorial discretion and dismisses
- the allegations that GPVA and the Stein Committee violated 52 U.S.C. §§ 30118(a), 11
- 12 30116(a)(1), and 30104(b)(8) or 11 C.F.R. §§ 104.3(d) and 104.11(a)-(b). See Heckler v.
- 13 Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985). Furthermore, to the extent that the Complaint includes a sua
- 14 sponte admission of a possible unintentional violation of 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(a)(1) and
- 15 30118(a) by SSI, the Commission exercises its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss because this
- 16 matter appears to be, in essence, a debt dispute. See id.

Until the dispute is resolved, the political committee must disclose any amounts paid to the creditor, any amount the political committee admits it owes, and the amount the creditor claims is owed. 11 C.F.R. § 116.10(a).

See 11 C.F.R. § 116.10(a).